## PENSION BENEFITS SUB-COMMITTEE

**MINUTES** of the meeting held on Thursday, 9 July 2015 commencing at 4.00 pm and finishing at 4.05 pm

Present:

**Voting Members:** Councillor Tim Hallchurch MBE

Councillor Stewart Lilly Councillor Gill Sanders

Officers:

Whole of meeting: Sue Corrigan, County HR Manager; Nina Warren, HR

Business Partner - E&E; Sue Whitehead (Chief

Executive's Office)

The Committee considered the matters, reports and recommendations contained or referred to in the agenda for the meeting, together with a schedule of addenda tabled at the meeting and decided as set out below. Except as insofar as otherwise specified, the reasons for the decisions are contained in the agenda, reports and schedule, copies of which are attached to the signed Minutes.

#### **40/15 ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN**

(Agenda No. 1)

It was proposed, seconded and it was:

**RESOLVED**: That Councillor Lilly be elected as Chairman for the meeting.

## 41/15 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST - SEE GUIDANCE NOTE OPPOSITE

(Agenda No. 3)

Councillors Lilly and Gill Sanders each declared personal interests as members of the Pension Fund Scheme under the provisions of Section 18 of the Local Government & Housing Act 1989.

## **42/15 MINUTES**

(Agenda No. 4)

The Minutes of the meeting held on 4 June 2015 were approved and signed as a correct record.

## 43/15 EXEMPT ITEM

**RESOLVED:** That the public be excluded for the duration of items PB6 and PB7 in the Agenda since it was likely that if they were present during those items there would be disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) and specified in relation to the respective items in the Agenda and since it was considered that, in all the circumstances of each case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

# PUBLIC SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS FOLLOWING THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

### 44/15 EXEMPT MINUTES

(Agenda No. 6)

The Exempt Minutes of the meeting held on the 4 June 2015 were agreed and signed.

The Sub-Committee was informed that one of the applicants had been redeployed so no longer needed to be taken forward.

The public were excluded during this item because its discussion in public would be likely to lead to the disclosure to members of the public present of information in the following prescribed categories:

- 1. Information relating to any individual;
- 2. Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual;
- 3. Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)

and since it was considered that, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information, in that such disclosure would infringe the rights of the individual to privacy contrary to the general law and the duty of the authority to respect human rights and to comply with that law and contrary to the authority's duties as a fair employer.

## 45/15 APPLICATIONS FOR PREMATURE RETIREMENT

(Agenda No. 7)

The Remuneration Committee, as the Employing Authority, had delegated to this Sub-Committee the determination of benefits for individual applications for early retirement.

The Sub-Committee considered the report PB7 and addenda which set out 6 applications for early retirement in total and determined the individual benefits to be paid as set out in the Annexes to the report.

.....

The public should be excluded during this item because its discussion in public would be likely to lead to the disclosure to members of the public present of information in the following prescribed categories:

- 1. Information relating to any individual;
- 2. Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual;
- 3. Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)

and since it is considered that, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, in that such disclosure would infringe the rights of the individual to privacy contrary to the general law and the duty of the authority to respect human rights and to comply with that law and contrary to the authority's duties as a fair employer.

	in the Chair
Date of signing	2015